

Evan S. Gutman CPA, JD
Member State Bar of Pennsylvania
Member District of Columbia Bar
1675 NW 4th Avenue, #511
Boca Raton, FL 33432

“The **litigation privilege** applies across the board to actions in Florida, both to common-law causes of action, those initiated pursuant to a statute, or of some other origin. **“Absolute immunity must be afforded to any act occurring during the course of a judicial proceeding. . . . so long as the act has some relation to the proceeding.”**

Echevarria v Cole, (Florida Supreme Court) 950 So.2d 380 (2007)

"Without publicity, all other checks are insufficient, in comparison of publicity, all other checks are of small account. Recordation, appeal, whatever other institutions might present themselves in the character of checks, would be found to operate rather as cloaks than checks, . . . as checks only in appearances."

In Re Oliver, (U.S. Supreme Court) 333 U.S. 257, 271 (1948)

Dear Recipient,

Pursuant to my own personal First Amendment rights, you are one of about 1,400 people this letter is being sent to including All U.S. Senators and Congressman, All Florida Legislators in the State House and Senate, all Florida Circuit Court Judges (about 600) and many other selected attorneys and people. I have submitted to the Florida 4th District Court of Appeals, my Appellate Brief in Discover Bank, N.A. v Evan S. Gutman (Fla. 4th DCA Case #4DCA-22-1089), (Palm Beach Circuit Court Case #50-2019-CA-013570-XXXX-MB). My Appellate Brief will also be publicly available at www.gutmanvaluations.com as soon as possible. My Appeal is a Direct Frontal Challenge to invidious Judicial Rules and Policies. Years ago, I identified each as some of the **Legal Profession and Judiciary's points of Hypocritical Vulnerability**. And suffice it to say, I know a whole lot more. And I now have the Perfect Fact Set to pull this off. The issues presented are as follows:

1. The immoral extension of Absolute Judicial Immunity for commission of Illegal Malicious Acts to members of the Florida Judicial Qualifications Commission (JQC) in the case of Laura M. Watson v Florida Judicial Qualifications Commission, (11th Cir. Fed. Ct. of Appeals, August 15, 2018); and to Debt Collector Attorneys under the Variant of Absolute Judicial Immunity known as "**Litigation Privilege**"; infringe upon the due process and equal protection clause rights of a litigant to a fair and impartial adjudication.
2. Florida State Bar Unauthorized Practice of Law (UPL) prohibitions, the **Foundation of the Entire Legal Monopoly** infringe upon the due process and equal protection clause rights of all litigants to receive a fair and impartial adjudication. UPL prohibitions diminish the competency of legal services provided by licensed attorneys by creating economic incentives for attorneys to waive procedural errors of each other at the expense of their client's interests. UPL prohibitions also result in uneven application of court rules, applied Hyper-Strictly to Pro Se litigants, but Liberally construed to the benefit of licensed attorneys.
3. Palm Beach County Court Rule 4 unconstitutionally violates the due process and equal protection clauses of the 14th Amendment on the ground it deprives Pro Se Litigants of a fair adjudication by excluding them from its provisions; and also infringes upon due process rights of litigants represented by Counsel by requiring their Attorney to communicate and cooperate with opposing Counsel even if not in the best interests of their clients, thereby totally undermining the adversarial process, the basis of our legal system.
4. Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.215 violates the due process clause of the 14th Amendment on the ground it deprives litigants in all cases of the right to a fair and impartial adjudication by a **Fully Independent Thinking** Trial Court Judge, not subjected to Undue Influence. The Rule vests virtually **Unbridled** Power within one Chief Judge of each County, who has virtually total control over all other Judges. The Rule creates two Classes of Trial Judges, with one Class having virtually total control over the Subservient Class, though both are Elected or Appointed, and the Subservient Class is the majority.

Very truly yours,
Evan Gutman CPA, JD